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Reviewer's report:

Dear Editor of BMC Nursing,

I would like to thank you for the opportunity to re-review this article: "The Rocks and Hard Places of MAiD: A qualitative study of nursing practice in the context of legislated assisted death", NURS-D-19-00110. The aim proposed by the authors was to better understand how nurses were experiencing the enactment of the legislation in their practice related to MAiD and thus explored this within a qualitative study.

This is a nice study; in my opinion, the suggestions made previously are well resolved and the new proposal is much more understandable. The manuscript fits well to the scope of this journal and it is interesting for readers.

1. Background: Thank you so much for the added information about the nursing role in MAiD. This added information justifies the objective of the study much better.

2. Methods section: In my opinion, the authors have added enough information to this section that improves considerably the robustness of methods.

3. Results:

a) In the first paragraph of Results' section, it could facilitate the reading to possible readers, to explain the number of emerged themes and subthemes. Afterwards, it could be easier to explain theme by theme. (Authors explain that "The three major themes have been prefaced in the first paragraph of the results section". But, I do not know to find in the reviewed manuscript. Thank you for adding more quotations to better illuminate the results.

4. Discussion: In my opinion, authors have solved well the suggestions made by the reviewers.

I hope to read this manuscript published in the near future.
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