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Reviewer's report:

It would have been helpful when the authors had indicated the rule numbers of the changed text.

Ad 1 and 3 In my opinion it is important to describe if consultation of other disciplines and shared decision making with patients and/or relatives were part of the program or not. If not, describe why not.

Ad 2 Without clear description of the programme, it is difficult to follow the implementation process and it is difficult to judge the value of the programme. Please add some more information about the framework of IdA in a tabel.

Ad 5 When the authors did not follow the COREQ criteria it is not advisable to refer to COREQ.

Ad 7 The authors ignored the first part of my question.

Ad 8 I do not understand the reasons the authors give for not adding the code book.

Ad 12 Implications for practice have to be part of the discussion nor of the conclusion. Rearrange in short points.

Ad 13 In the first part: describe which key elements of the programme were adopted, and which were adapted.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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