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Author’s response to reviews:

Comments by the editors and reviewers on the manuscript:

NURS-D-19-00188R1"The Effect of Education around Ethical Principles on Nurses’ Perception to Patient Safety Culture in an Iranian Psychiatric Unit: A Pilot Study"

Authors’ comment: we would like to thank the editor and reviewers for their time and their helpful comments on our article. Please find below our responses to the comments and our descriptions of the changes made (in red in the manuscript).

Reviewer 3 COMMENTS
I am satisfied that the authors have attended to the initial review feedback and that the paper merits publication.
The paper does require some proof reading and sub-editing for typos and grammatical glitches.
AUTHOR RESPONSE: Thank you very much for your comment and your suggestions for improving this manuscript.
We corrected as much as possible.

Reviewer 5 COMMENTS
See below comments by section. The strongest sections of this manuscript are the results and discussion sections.
There is a need to edit grammatical and sentence structure issues throughout the paper.
I find this paper of importance and I feel that, with major revisions, it should be published.

AUTHOR RESPONSE: Thank you very much for your comments and your suggestions for improving this manuscript.
In my opinion (as below), this is not a quasi experimental study. Rather, it seems to use a convenience sample and align with a simple pre- and post-test study design using only one cohort of participants. 

AUTHOR RESPONSE: Following your comments, we corrected.

Abstract - Is this really a quasi-experimental design? "Data" is plural so use "Data were collected…data were analyzed". You don't need to put the statistical package used in the abstract. In the Methods section, you don't mention the educational intervention. That needs to be included here.

AUTHOR RESPONSE: We modified and added this section.

Introduction -

There are several areas that need to be edited for tense, grammar, sentence structure, redundancy, etc. The authors offer sufficient evidence to back the problem they are addressing.

I feel there needs to be something specific to Iranian culture and mental health. Are there higher mental health concerns in Iran than in other countries? If so, why? Are the mentally ill more frequently hospitalized in Iran than in other countries? Is this one of the reasons that this particular study is needed?

AUTHOR RESPONSE: Following your suggestions, we added some explanation. Please see the new version in red.

Methods -This does not seem a quasi-experimental study. There would need to be manipulation of the intervention and at least two cohorts of participants and then an assessment to look at differences in outcomes.

AUTHOR RESPONSE: We corrected its.

Results - No major issues.
Discussion - No major issues.

AUTHOR RESPONSE: Thank you very much

The authors reiterate their gratitude to the editor and the reviewers who helped with valuable comments to improve the clarification and quality of this study. Thank you for the opportunity to make changes and submit a revised paper. We look forward to your positive response.

Thank you