Author’s response to reviews

Title: The Effect of Education around Ethical Principles on Nurses’ Perception to Patient Safety Culture in an Iranian Psychiatric Unit: A Pilot Study

Authors:

Behzad Razzani (razzani.behzad@yahoo.com)
Foroozan Atashzadeh–Shoorideh (f_atashzadeh@sbumu.ac.ir)
Tayebeh Jamshidi (tayebe.jamshidi@yahoo.com)
Maasoumeh Barkhordari-Sharifabad (barkhordary.m@gmail.com)
Zahra Lotfi (zlotfi1024@gmail.com)
Victoria Skerrett (Victoria.Skerrett@bcu.ac.uk)

Version: 1 Date: 21 Aug 2019

Author’s response to reviews:

Comments by the editors and reviewers on the manuscript:
NURS-D-19-00188 "The Effect of Education around Ethical Principles on Nurses’ Perception to Patient Safety Culture in an Iranian Psychiatric Unit: A Pilot Study"
Authors’ comment: we would like to thank the editor and reviewers for their time and their helpful comments on our article. Please find below our responses to the comments and our descriptions of the changes made. (in red in the manuscript)

Reviewer 1 COMMENTS

Thank you very much for your comment and your suggestions for improving this manuscript.

Page 2

Line 6, 41 - try not to end the sentence with etc
Following your suggestion, We removed the word "etc" from the end of the sentence.

Line 15 - needs reference to support claim about Australia
Thank you very much for your comment. We wrote the reference used for both England and Australia.

Line 24 - give a figure rather than the notional sum of millions
Since there are no accurate statistics in Iran, we did not find a valid reference to report this. So we deleted this sentence.

Introduction is clumsily written in areas, makes some interesting points and does set up the paper
Thank you very much for your comment.
We added it to the sentence.

Research design brief does not clearly provide information on what happened
We are sorry for not clarifying research design. Following your comments, we added some explanation about the content of each educational session.
We think it is more understandable now.

Data collect - clear

Results - well laid out and appropriate, tables a little cramped
Thank you very much for your comment. We tried to modify the cramped of the tables by adding height.
Well presented discussion, some clumsiness in the use of language in places
Thank you very much for your comment.

Reviewer 2 COMMENTS

Thank you very much for your comments and your suggestions for improving this manuscript.

The objective of the study was not clear and also was not clear when the authors described about "nurses' perceptions of patient safety culture (POPSC)".

Following your comments, we added some explanation to clarify the meaning of phenomenon better.
Why was included the year of the study in the objective?
We deleted the year of the study in the objective.

The purpose and method of the study were not clearly described. It will be necessary to review the type of study, sample size calculation, and study inclusion and exclusion criteria, as many of the criteria presented are not considered inclusion or exclusion criteria. For example; "incomplete questionnaire and inclination to participate in the study", etc.

Following your and R1’s suggestions, we rewrote this section and added some explanation. Please see the new version in red.

The authors also did not mention the ethical aspects of the research.

Thank you for your comment. The ethical aspects of the research are presented at the end of manuscript as “Ethics approval and consent to participate” (According to journal format)

The description of the HSOPSC instrument and the way it was analyzed was not understandable. The analysis of the instrument could be revised for clarity.

Following your suggestions, we rewrote this section.
We hope it has come more understandable now.
The authors reiterate their gratitude to the editor and the reviewers who helped with valuable comments to improve the clarification and quality of this study. Thank you for the opportunity to make changes and submit a revised paper. We look forward to your positive response.

Thank you