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General comments:

Thank you for the opportunity to review the manuscript The nurse anesthetist perioperative dialog.

The overall topic is of importance and relevance to an international readership.

My comments section by section are as follows:

BACKGROUND

What was the theoretical framework used in this study? As all the readers might not be familiar with the perioperative dialogue, this could be of value to add to this section as well as the definition of perioperative dialog. There is already a scant definition but could it be a little bit wider. Why is this conducted research of importance? Please add some information. Where did the preoperative dialog take place and when? and where and when did the postoperative dialog take place? (Page 3)

METHODS

The section on data analysis is well explained although it might be of interest for the readers to know the total amount of meaning units derived from the texts red (totally) and what meaning units composed the two final categories. Adding a table of the process could be valuable in visualizing how these two categories were generated. (Page 5)

RESULTS

The results are presented in two categories (the preoperative dialog and the intraoperative dialog). There is a lack of information concerning the postoperative dialog. (Page 5)

DISCUSSION
In this section the authors write about ethos, which is important, therefore it would be of interest for the readers to know something about the theoretical framework already in the background section.

CONCLUSIONS

How is the new knowledge of this research study going to be used in perioperative practice? What kind of further studies could be of interest regarding the results of this study?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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