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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for completing the revisions for this manuscript. The aims of this study was to use a quality improvement approach to evaluate the Nurse Practitioner (NP) role in long term care. The authors improved the description of the different models of NP care which improved overall clarity of the study overall. This is an important addition to the scholarship because of the description of the different models of NP care in long-term care. The authors clarified that a quality improvement approach was taken, and also described clearly why there was no control group or the ability to do a pre-post quasi-experimental study. Although the outcome data are rather imprecise, it does show some trends with the different NP models of care which was the purpose of the paper. There are many figures that may need to be culled, but will leave this decision to the editors.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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