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Dear Author/ Journal BMC Nursing

Hi

Manuscript number: NURS-D-19-00145

Title: Evaluation of the Korean Version of the Self-assessment of Nursing Informatics Competencies Scale

Please, this paper is not the original as a research paper. The following reasons:

- Introduction, the results and discussion has not been completely explained both in the abstract and in the body of the article).

- Introduction: It is very low expressed (both in the abstract and in the body of the article), the introduction is not clear purpose and use of research and at the end of introduction is finished by providing the reference from the other people. Also the results and discussion has not been completely explained.

Delete the abbreviations in the abstract and article body. Both the abstract and the body of the article have abundant abbreviations.

- Keywords are compared with the mesh.

- The Tables reform by adding other statistical methods.

The Methods in the article abstract and the article text is not clear.-

The Section of the method is lacking text of nursing student's written consent.

Terminology is not clear.
- The conclusion of this study is not clear and the section of Acknowledgments has not Ethical considerations and ethics number.

- Some of the references are old.

Thanks

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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