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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for an interesting study which provides some interesting information but which also requires some clarification:

- don't use acronyms in the abstract

- Why use the OLBI and not the usual 'gold standard' MBI - needs some explanation about it's previous us and also some more information: number of items; scoring range and psychometric properties as I think many readers will not know it

- PHQ-9 cutoffs to diagnose depression - are these your own arbitrary cutoffs or are these epidemiologically established - what about sensitivity and specificity, for example?

- lines 147-153 are confusing and this relates back to the methods; it is not clear why you report two sets of variables predicting depression - were all the variables not entered at once; was this done in two steps? It is not clear and needs some clarification.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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