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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor(s)

Thank you for our assessments and the potential acceptance of the manuscript. We have followed your suggestions for additional revision and made the following changes: 1) The abstract have been revised to meet your request, and we don’t exceed 350 words. 2) We have included the ethics under declaration. We got an advisory opinion from the Regional Research Ethics Committee in Stockholm that an ethical approval was not required as this study does not involve patients or relatives or sensitive personal information. This was a personal contact on the phone. We have added a note on that in the paper. 3) the response rate and demographics are included under Results. 4) we have clarified that each of the items in the questionnaire is included in table 3 on page 10 line 4-5) We also state that the fundings of the study was used for data Collection and analysis of data. 6) We removed the attached strobe appendix, and added a sentence under design, in the methodology section that “The study adhere to STROBE methodology for cross-sectional studies” (Page 5, line 5) Please advise us if this statement fits better elsewhere.

We have also tried to follow author recommendations to the best of our understanding and we are open for further suggestions and revisions if necessary.

on behalf of all authors

Mirjam Ekstedt