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Reviewer's report:

This is a very important area of research and it is important to ensure that the voice of staff as well as residents, families in included in furthering the person-centred care agenda. The manuscript is well written and presented and I only have some minor amendments to recommend.

Abstract

line 3 should this be meaning rather than meanings?

line 8 understanding of what attracts them to this work and support further development of person-centred care practice in nursing homes - What work? is it nursing home work or working in a person-centred way.

line 39 change information sharing to sharing information

line 4/542 it seems reasonable ?? Why does it seem reasonable is there evidence to support this claim?

Background

line 44 change create to creating

lines 45-49 A personcentred climate consists of a holistic experience of the organisation, the physical and psychosocial environment and human interactions...For who??

line 49 It is an integral part of enabling person-centred care...... What is an integral part of enabling PCC??

line 51 when you say climate do you mean culture? It might be worth explaining that climate and culture are the same if not please explain what climate is

page 4 line 1 More recently, a study [28] focusing on advantages from working..... change from to of line 7 I don't believe the research into staff's experience of working in person-centred care
cultures in nursing homes is sparse. There is lots of recent published studies on both residents and staff's experiences.

Interviews

Line 24 sounds awkward can you amend please

Line 26 To further deepen may be better is written as To gain a deeper understanding and to clarify

Line 31 after person-centred way? remove "and" insert ? after whar are your experiences?

Page 6 line 32 Here, the themes were summarized and reflected on concerning the study aim and the contexts of the study, taking into consideration the naive reading, the structural analysis, researchers' pre-understandings and theory relevant for what the interpretation opened for. This is a long and awkward sentence can you rephrase please?

Page 9

line 22 Some days we can reach no matter what, without being stressed. This does not make sense perhaps this is a translation it needs to be checked for readability

line 39 change unformal to informal

Discussion

page 12 Thus, the results indicate that task focusing is an essential and integral part of the learning process. I am unsure how this relates to person-centred care. Most person-centred care models would state that the focus should be on the person not the tasks and therefore stating that task focusing is an essential and integral part of the learning process is both misleading and incorrect in terms of person-centred care
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