Reviewer’s report

Title: Increasing cultural awareness: Qualitative study of nurses' perceptions about a cultural competence training

Version: 1 Date: 16 May 2019

Reviewer: Saras Henderson

Reviewer’s report:

Dear Authors

Thank you for reviewing the comments and responding accordingly. The manuscript reads a lot better now having changed the title and the thrust of the paper highlighting the training program rather than cultural competence intervention.

Suggest consideration be given to the following points to further clarify and strengthen the manuscript.

1. Throughout the paper several terms are used for the population the nurses are providing healthcare to. For example, the term, migrants, foreign patients, minority groups, patients from other cultures and Culturally diverse groups have been used. I suggest you provide a glossary of terms for the one term that you will be using which may include all the above mentioned types of patients. Also a brief demographic account of the sorts of patients that your sample participants are usually in contact with needs to be included such as country of origin, ability to speak English etc.

2. In the analysis it is suggested that constructive method will be used; however, this is not clearly evident beyond stating that conventional analysis will be used. If you have used constructive method then how were verbatim accounts made by the participants deconstructed to make sense of the themes.

3. Some detail about the training program with emphasis on the theoretical basis for the developed of the training program will be appropriate; Thank you for including the actual content of the training modules.

4. The findings could be discussed with relevant literature a bit more in depth.

4. The paper needs to be carefully edited to enhance its presentation.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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