Author’s response to reviews

Title: HOSPITALIZED PATIENTS’ PAIN EXPERIENCES BEFORE AND AFTER THE INTRODUCTION OF A NURSE-BASED PAIN MANAGEMENT PROGRAM: A SEPARATE SAMPLE PRE AND POST STUDY

Authors:
Gugsa Nemera Germossa (gugsanemera@gmail.com)
Ragnhild Hellesø (ragnhild.helleso@medisin.uio.no)
Ingeborg Strømseng Sjetne (Ingeborg.Strømseng.Sjetne@kunnskapssenteret.no)

Version: 3 Date: 01 Aug 2019

Author’s response to reviews:

August 1, 2019
Hossein Rafiei
Associate Editor
BMC Nursing

Dear Dr. Rafiei:
Subject: Submission of revised paper NURS-D-19-00040R1

Thank you very much for your email dated July 29,2019 enclosing the reviewer’s and editorial assessments. Thank you very much for assessing our manuscript “Hospitalized Patients’ Pain Experiences Before and After the Introduction of a Nurse-Based Pain Management Program: A Separate Sample Pre And Post Study”. We also greatly appreciate you and the reviewers for the scholarly comments and suggestions. We have carefully reviewed the comments and have revised the manuscript accordingly. Our point-by-point response to the essential suggestions are given below. We hope that our responses are satisfactory, and the revised version of the manuscript is now suitable for publication.

We thank you for your consideration of our manuscript and look forward to hearing from you in due course

Yours sincerely,

Gugsa Nemera Germossa
Jimma University and University of Oslo
gugsanemera@gmail.com
Response to the editor and reviewer suggestions

Thank you for your assessment of our manuscript. We have answered each of your points below. We have amended each of your concerns point-by-point as below.

1) “Thank you for including a ethics and consent statement in the declaration section. Please be sure to clarify the weather ethics approval was obtained by the Norwegian center for research data in this section”.

Response: The study protocol was approved by the Norwegian Center for Research Data - project number 48349. We have indicated this in declaration section under sub heading ethical approvals on page 19 line 393

2) “in the funding section, please state the role of funding body in the design of the study, collection, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, and in writing the manuscript”.

Response: The funding body was not involved or had any role in the design of the study, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, and in writing the manuscript. We had indicated this in declaration section under sub heading funding on page 20 line 408-410

3) Please proofread and ensure that when you upload your revised submission that it is in the final form for publication. Please remove any tracked changes, colored text or highlighting and include only a single clean copy of the manuscript. Should you wish to respond to these revision request, please include the information in the designated input box only”.

Response: As suggested by editor and reviews we have checked for existence of tracked changes, colored text or highlighting and made the final form for publication. We also made edition to the reference lists.