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Author’s response to reviews:

Response to reviewer comments

• First we would like to thank the editors and the reviewers for their time, effort and the valuable comments.
• Below is a point by point response/explanation to the comments with actions taken in the manuscript.

Reviewer comment  Action/response  Location in manuscript

Research Square (Reviewer 3): "STATISTICAL REVIEWER ASSESSMENT

The authors have continued to use a logistic regression which is not best practice but is acceptable provided they make it clear that ORs will be amplified wrt RRs.

Fixed accordingly  Data analysis page 11 and 12

The final point worth making is that there has been a misunderstanding about the term hierarchical. The authors mean this in the way that they have used model fitting within SPSS: not that patients are clustered within centres. To avoid confusion, the authors should drop the term. They already explain that variables were added in three blocks.

Fixed accordingly  Data analysis page 11 and 12

Results page 14