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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editorial Team,

Please find attached our changes to this article based on comments from the Editor and Reviewer. The original due date for the revision was tomorrow, Saturday 15th December, however, we had been granted an extension to Monday 17th. As it has turned out we did not need the extension and has made it by the original due date.

We are hopeful that this article might be published in your journal given the changes that we have made, as per the Response to Reviewers. We consider that the content has significant potential in informing future changes in the health workforce with regard to extended scope of practice roles, especially in rural and remote practice.

We note that this time the reviewer has acknowledged that ‘most of the concerns expressed in the first review have been addressed’. We trust that the further responses below will further meet expectations. We certainly feel that the reviewers’ comments and feedback have given us the opportunity to improve the manuscript considerably.
Thanks you and all the best for the festive season.

Tony Smith