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**Reviewer's report:**

Thank you for inviting me to review this manuscript which is interesting and well written. There are a few comments that in my opinion will improve the manuscript. Specifically:

In the background section it could be useful to provide a short description of the concept in your country.

In the abstract and method section you use the term "deep interviews" while the term mostly used is "in-depth interviews".

Analysis would be more clear if quotes are provided in all subcategories and perhaps more than one if these are phrased in a different way so that the reader understand the full range of content in each one.

Limitations of the study should be presented more clearly.

Although the manuscript is well written there are in a few places words missing or incorrect words. Please read it carefully and correct errors such as the following:

page. 7, line 16 I believe a verb is missing, eg. use
line 51 "bureaucratic" intead of "beurocratic"

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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