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Reviewer's report:

Dear authors,

it is evident that you have put a lot of effort to improve this manuscript. It has improved considerably and I have only a few things to suggest.

In the abstract, under methods there is a repetition. You mention that "A three-arm single-blinded randomised..." at the beginning and then at the end you report the same. Delete the last sentence of the methods.

Under Background, the sentence "Patients with NIs need to stay... or die" needs better articulation.

Under Sample size calculation, correct "As participated nurses..." to 'As participating nurses...'.

Under Intervention elements, you report that "in addition, delivering training in small groups makes it more effective training", this sentence needs better articulation and a reference.

Under Research Instruments, I would suggest to start the sentence providing some more concise information. "The questionnaire was developed... " could be A questionnaire was developed to evaluate (use your objective here to describe what the questionnaire was aiming to do).

Under validity and reliability, you mention six expert panels, do you mean six expert panellists? Explain what made them experts.

Under Discussion you refer a lot to the advantage of randomised trials in evaluating effectiveness of new treatments, which is not your focus. Probably instead of treatments use the term interventions.

Ensure that all abbreviations are explained. Proof reading is required to correct some grammar and spelling mistakes and clarify some sentences.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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