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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review your paper "We'll always check vital signs only till we finish the school": a qualitative study on the experiences of student nurses regarding intra-semester clinical placement in Ghana". There are some issues that should be clarified for the manuscript to be useful to the international nursing education and practice.

Keywords: please use MeSH terms to help to find your research. Try to find MeSH terms to fit your research.

Methods

Study design: There are designs for qualitate reasearch. Your research is a phnomenological qualitative design.

Sample and sampling methods: The authors wrote that participants were selected purposively... But how did you contact and recruit them?

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Dodowa Health Research Center for the Ghana Health Service. Do you have a reference number? Please, include it in the text.

Results

You can use tables to show sentences of the participants. In the text, you write 2-3 sentences, but in the tables, you can show more participant's opinions that support your results.
References. Please check some references that are incomplete:

Refs 2, 9, 23, 24... vol(number):pages.

Refs 5, ... date consult.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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