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Reviewer's report:

Some minor suggestions to authors:

1. In abstract (Methods): This qualitative study /.../. 

2. Page 4 - please check citation Cartwright (13) and author, which you cited in the end of this sentence (as cited in Melillo et al, 2014 (2, p. 264). Who is the author or this text? LTC provides .../.../

There is not clear of text authorship.

3. Page 6 - Setting - The 13-week practicum cycle /.../. How many hours?

4. Please check in the text, why are some letters in square brackets. Example: p. 15 (T)he person ... p. 16 (U)nderstanding who the client is ... p. 17 (N)urses are busy, ... Is this correct?

5. In conclusion maybe you add Research limitation(s) and maybe some further suggestions for researching in future in this field.

Content of the article is clear and understanding. Research which you done is very interesting.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?  
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?  
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interest's below.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons
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