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Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

Thanks for the opportunity to review.

Please clarify: 1) whether the two facilities are for profit or not-for profit entities; 2) whether a 'resident pair' refers to resident-student or some other combination; 3) 1.5 days per week equals ___ hours per week (i.e. eight or twelve hour shifts);

Consider using the concepts of the model to frame your findings: that is, with headings of person-centered care and intergenerational learning; student and resident orientations; communication...; and role and expectations. This orientation may make it easier for the reader to follow your results and may streamline the findings so that the paper is not so long or repetitive. As it is, your results and your discussion section themes do not match, so it is difficult for the reader to follow your interpretation and the sections appear redundant rather than complementary.

Some statements are unjustified, such as on page 15, line 33 - 35, "The time students and residents spend together clearly contributed to students gaining a greater understanding of older adults." This statement lacks qualitative data to support it.

Please define or limit the use of terms, such as 'straightforward' (p 10 line 41) and 'problematic' (p. 11, line 53). Define these terms, and consider using examples to be clearer.
Are the methods appropriate and well described? If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls? If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown? If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review? If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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