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Author’s response to reviews:

Response to Editors´ comments

Dear Editor

1. We note that the current submission contains some textual overlap with other previously published works, in particular:


This overlap mainly exists in your methods section and Brink, E., Persson, L. O., & Karlson, B. W. (2009). Coping with myocardial infarction: evaluation of a coping questionnaire. Scandinavian journal of caring sciences, 23(4), 792-800. This overlap mainly exists in your methods section

While we understand that you may wish to express some of the same ideas contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work. Please re-phrase these sections to minimise overlap.

We have corrected the text

2. Please include an abstract after your title page. Your abstract should include the following headings: Background, Methods, Results, Conclusions, and should be no longer than 350. Please also include a keywords section.
3. In order to be in line with journal requirements, please include the following headings within your manuscript: Background, Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusions
Done

4. In the Funding section, please also describe the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.
Done

5. Please only include one copy of each table in your final submitted version
Done

6. Please provide figure legends under a separate heading of 'Figure Legends' after the References. If Figure titles/legends are within the main text of the manuscript, please move them.
Done

Figure files should contain only the image, as well as any associated keys/annotations. If legends are present within the figure files, please remove them.
Done

7. Please remove the response to the reviewers comments and separate declarations files from the file inventory as they are no longer needed at this stage of the editorial process.
Done

8. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different
colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Done