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Reviewer’s report:

A few comments were given as follows:

(1) Some grammar errors were reconnoitered and recommended for proofreading again.

(2) There was a small amount of literature especially for only one article related to the oral pressure ulcers in mechanically ventilated patients to compare with the present study. It undermined the persuasive findings of the present study.

(3) The authors had already stated the key limitations of the present study including: a single centre the findings might have been influenced by several perspectives of clinical practice that vary between centres, different staffing and patient characteristics. Given the nature of the present study with retrospective observational design, authors had given the best recommendation for further this study with a prospective randomised controlled trial to address the limitations.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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