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Reviewer's report:

Nu-DESC DK: The Danish version of the Nursing Delirium Screening Scale (Nu-DESC)

* Abstract: background should contains the aims of the study

* Abstract: methods should state the type of the design

* Background: subtypes of delirium, page 4, line 13-16 ; nice to give the readers the percentage of the first two subtypes

* Page 5, method should start by describing the adopted design

* Page 5, the title is setting and procedure but nothing about procedure

* Page 7, line 5; why 3 doctors and just one nurse??//

* Page 7, Reconciliation; need more description as it is a very critical part

* Page 7, line 16; medical staff; how and whom / need more description

* Page 8, line 2; the 20 nurses and doctors need to state their characteristics to be able to evaluate the tool

* Page 8, line 14; who are the 3 main translators??

* Discussion part: redundancy , need more work

* Discussion, page 9, line 18-19: you stated study limitation, that is the lack of a validation of the tool. Although it is well validated in different countries in Europe, I think it should be validated as it is translated and this is my main concern.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?

If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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