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Reviewer's report:

Many thanks for addressing the feedback given. I am happy that the authors have addressed these sufficiently for the most part. I only have a few comments relating to the author's revisions.

Consistency and accuracy of cross references to tables within the text needs checked - e.g. page 19 "They maintained that the implementation brought a totally different view on mobilization in daily care (Table 6)" - I believe this should be referring to Table 5?

Table 5 - still requires identifiers for each of the quotations to demonstrate and confirm that the responses came from the sample as a whole.

Table 5 - I think the 'categories' in the table appear to be a description of the theme but at present a column indicating the broader theme to which the description pertains appears to be missing.

Table 5 - The use of the quotation marks needs attention.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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