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Reviewer's report:

Introduction:

Line 27: Please avoid abbreviations like Approx!

This also applies to IPC in introduction.

Case Presentation:

What do you mean by Chronic Venous insufficiency? If I recall correctly there are two types
1). Superficial
2). Deep.

Please specify

And please remember that if it is superficial? was there a treatment for it? If Not, why?

If it is deep venous system insufficiency how and when it was recognised and at what level.

This is a crucial point of your paper. Please highlight.

Your measurement was done at same point I believe, so please mention.

Calculate the correct BMI and do not use approximation.

You need to correctly detail the findings of the Duplex examination of the arteries. You can not use the word sufficient. Please let the reader decide what is and what is not sufficient.

Medication names, need to be with accordance to international pharmacopeia recognition, I believe you are referring to Furosemide if yes what was the dose and was it in infusion?

I seem to miss the section of discussion!!!
In this section, you need to highlight what are other contributing factors to chronic leg ulcers, discuss the evidence in support of your method and also mention new techniques in literature like the one which is used for stimulation of Common peroneal nerve, Tibia and so on in a very short paragraph.

Overall, it is well written case report and the timeline is very useful. However, few minor points need clarification as mentioned above.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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