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Reviewer's report:

Background:

1- Kindly, add the reference number for these statements: "While there are published studies on cardiac knowledge and cardiac misconceptions among healthcare and population groups, the literature relating to the knowledge and misconceptions of nursing students is sparse." in line 25-31, "Cardiac misconceptions refer to false or mistaken views, opinions, or attitudes about heart problems that can influence patients' interpretations of the recovery journey and their coping strategies." in line 35-40.

2- Kindly, explain the importance of this study among students in one before the last paragraph.

Methods:

1- Please explain the rationals for the second inclusion criteria ((2) had attended a clinical practicum in a medical unit.), and the last demographic data in table 1 (Are the primary caregiver of clients with cardiovascular diseases in a clinical practicum? yes, no ".

Results: good.

Discussion: good.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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