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Reviewer’s report:

The manuscript has improved a lot. I have only a few suggestions for the authors:

Please check that all abbreviations in the text are explained before they are used (i.e. CABG).

The discussion section must include a more in-depth comparison of the authors' findings to those of international literature.

Please provide suggestions for future research on the subject.

Table 1 must include not only the frequencies but percentages as well.

The theoretical basis for Figure 1 is that of "Bryman, 2006; Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011" as provided in the text? Please add a reference in the Table to clarify if this is the case (or not).

As this study is part of a larger project, the authors must provide references for other already published papers of this study (if there are any), to make sure there is no duplication of the data etc.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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