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Reviewer's report:

Title:
• I wondered why author considered nurses' knowledge on PU is low while the study intend to assess the level of their knowledge

Abstract: result part:
• Why author used "more than" then "contrarily less than" while in fact both results nearly close.

Abstract: conclusion part: what author means by "low" and based on what he considered it as low

Background part:
• What author means by "pus"
• Author mentioned that there is lack of........ Ethiopian nurses' knowledge, so how he considered it as low in the title of the study

Method part:
• Why author included all nurses, eg. Nurses in administration section may affect the results

Data collection part:
• Why author used English version, is it a mother language there in Ethiopia
• What author means by "good knowledge" by responding above mean.
• The same for "poor knowledge" and "good practice" and "poor practice"
• Why author used "bed sore" instead of pressure ulcer here, I think it’s better to use the same word

Result part:
• "255 study samples" ????
• Tool needs more description
• I could not figure out what author means by "M=69" is this the mean and if so how you got this mean out of 22 items
• Based on what author considered 12 as cut point
• Odd ratio interpret by going back from outcome to risk or independent factor
Discussion part:
• what author means by “near the ground”

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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