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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

1- Abstract, page 3, 'Intervention”, Line 2, Please define "SCC”.
2- Material and Methods, Page 5, Section of "Aim" should be moved to the introduction before "Material and Methods”.
4- Page 8, "Opinion of the stroke care coordinators”, please report the rating method for questionnaire items.
5- Validity and reliability, Page 9, First sentence (line 1-2) should be referenced.
6- Conclusion, Para 2, line 11, Please clarify why the GPs should be involved in the follow-up care.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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