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Author’s response to reviews:

Cover letter giving a point-by-point response to the concerns: Manuscript Number: MIDM-D-19-00411 "Men’s view on participation in decisions about Prostate-Specific Antigen (PSA) screening: Patient and public involvement in development of a survey” submitted to BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making

Once again, we thank BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making for constructive criticism on our manuscript and for invitation to re-revise our manuscript and resubmit. This cover letter gives an overview on revisions and a point-by-point response to the issues raised in editor’s report.

By way of introduction, editor states that “Editor Comments: 1 - Please remove any files from the file inventory that you do not wish to see published.”

Authors’ comment:

Thanks for suggestion. Please see revision.
Editor afterwards states that “2 - Please reformat the boxed information in order to comply with our journal requirements.”

Authors’ comment:
Thanks for suggestion. This has been now changed. Please see revised boxed information (now put into table material)

Editor afterwards states that “3 - Please give details of the 'DHDA approval' and provide the full name for the acronym. “

Authors’ comment:
Thanks for comment. This has been now changed. Please see ‘Declarations’ section.

Editor finally states that “4 - At this stage, please upload your proofread manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethrough or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files. Please ensure that all figures, tables and additional/supplementary files are cited within the text. Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box only.”

Authors’ comment:
Thanks for suggestion. We have tried to meet requirements. Please see revision.

Once again, the editor and reviewers are thanked for constructive criticisms and comments.

Please do not hesitate to contact in case of any questions or concerns.
Yours sincerely,

Søren Birkeland /March 20, 2020