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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Dr. Catherine Rice, Editor of BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making,

We really appreciate the reviewer’s comments and the technical comments for our Manuscript ID MIDM-D-19-00307R2 entitled “A Study on Users’ Preference towards Diabetes-Related Video Clips on YouTube”. We revised the paper based on these comments. The comments were addressed respectively. The corresponding changes were integrated in the revised paper. A revision summary is shown in the followings.

The responses to the editor comments:
1. Please remove any files from the file inventory that you do not wish to see published.
   Response: The files that we do not wish to see published were removed.

2. Please provide a list of all the abbreviations used in the manuscript. This list should be placed just before the Declarations section. All abbreviations should still be defined in the text at first use.
   Response: The abbreviation used in the manuscript was listed before the Declaration section. The following content was added to the “List of Abbreviations” section: “DM: diabetes mellitus”.

3. Research involving human subjects (including human material or human data) that is reported in the manuscript must have been performed with the approval of an appropriate ethics committee. Research carried out on humans must be in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration (http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/index.html). A statement to this effect must appear in the ‘Ethics approval and consent to participate’ section of the Declarations of the
manuscript, including the name of the body which gave approval, with a reference number where appropriate.
If the need for ethics approval were waived, then please clearly state this, including the name of the ethics committee that provided the exemption, together with the reasons for the waiver, or a reference to the relevant legislation.
Response:
No human subject was involved in this study.

4. For all research involving human subjects, informed consent to participate in the study should be obtained from participants (or their parent or guardian in the case of children under 16) and a statement to this effect should appear in the ‘Ethics approval and consent to participate’ section of the Declarations including whether the consent was written. When reporting on such studies, individual patient data should not be made available unless consent for publication has also been obtained.
If the need for informed consent has been waived by an IRB or is deemed unnecessary according to national regulations, please clearly state this with details, including the name of the Board or a reference to the relevant legislation in the ‘Ethics approval and consent to participate’ section of the Declarations.
Response:
No human subject was involved in this study.

5. Please include an Availability of Data and Materials statement in the Declarations and ensure it reflects accurately one of the formats indicated in our submission guidelines for the raw data: https://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/editorial-policies#availability+of+data+and+materials
Response:
The Availability of Data and Materials statement was included in the Declarations.
The following sentence was added to the “Availability of Data and Materials” section: “The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.”

6. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethrough or text in different colours. All relevant tables and figures should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files. Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box only.
Response:
We uploaded our manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethrough or text in different colours. All relevant tables and figures were clean versions. Figures (and additional files) were uploaded as separate files. Since no revision was made, no information was needed to be included in the designated input box.