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Reviewer's report:

I see the value of this work, as I believe the importance of e-health in developing countries.

However, few things need to be highlighted:

Background, Page no 3 - line 52-59:

1) Where are these previous studies? I don't see two references are enough to support this claim.

2) What kind of modest levels of awareness in e-health, did those studies reveal?

3) What is the main motivation of this study? Just because none of the previous studies were conducted in Saudi Arabia, does not mean it should be the only reason to perform this study. Yes, both study location and the targeted respondents are important and would definitely add something, but there must be other reasons to motivate this study, such as what kind of knowledge are you adding to this domain? where are the gaps? and how are you filling those gaps?

Therefore, I suggest that the authors should add a new section or a paragraph to discuss the related works and provide enough evidence so we can know what has been done in this field.

Methods, Page no 4 - line 16-59:
More information about the study subjects and design are needed.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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