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Reviewer's report:

This article presents survey results of clinicians in Ethiopia regarding predictors for intention to use EHRs. The study was completed from March to September of 2019 at three hospitals in northwest Ethiopia. A self-administered survey was used based on UTAUT2. Additional qualitative data was gathered by interview. Overall, this is a very effective article addressing the topic.

Specific feedback:

1. The references, while able to be located, did not appear in standard format.
2. Page 4 line 21: The statement of one chart providing across different facilities should be clarified. This is not an inherent property of EHRs. If Ethiopia has a commitment to a single database instance of a single EHR, this should be stated.
3. Page 4 line 41: The statement that only a few centers have implemented EHRs successfully was linked to reference 10 that was based on a survey within Saudi Arabia. This overarching statement should be modified since in many countries EHRs have been implemented successfully for many years.
4. Page 5 line 6: The overly broad statement that more than 50 percent of EMRs fail or fail to be properly utilized is not supported effectively by the references, one from 2004 and the other did not support this contention.
5. Page 7: The first figure in the article is labeled FIG2 and does not include a caption/explanation of H1 - H7.
6. Page 9 line 35: Given this unusually high response rate, more details of the process of gathering results would be helpful in the methods. For example, did data collectors observe the self-administration which may raise additional questions regarding confidentiality preservation.
7. Page 12: FIG 1 appears here. Computer literacy has a typo. A caption should describe what is meant by the line weightings. Further, line thickness may better clarify relative strength than the partly obscured numbers posted.
8. Table 4 on page 12 should be re-written including a cation to the table for improved understanding. The arrows from PE to IU, e.g., are from right to left. The figure above is left to right and this is confusing. The abbreviations are not explained in a caption.
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