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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for having me to review the revision. While the authors have successfully addressed majority of the comments, several further minor changes are required:

1. The authors may consider using consistent wording for describing the study aim in the abstract and in line 128-129.

2. Line 340-341 "(1) a patient case based on the previous personas, (2) a mapping of the journey model with the patients' needs" are actually both presented in 3.1. I suggest to merge (2) into (1), so that the opening paragraph of the Result section has 3 sentences corresponding to the subsections 3.1-3.3.

3. The authors need to double check the numbering of tables. In line 800, shouldn't this Table 3 be Table 1? Otherwise there is no Table 1 in the manuscript.

4. In response to Reviewer 1 comment 9, the authors responded that the "information about me" is added in the Table 1. But there is no Table 1 and this information is actually found in Table 2.

5. Line 599, instead of "service contact", do you mean "service contract"?

6. Please use the reference style recommended by BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making. You can refer to the latest publication.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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