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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Dr Maxine Dillon,

Assistant Editor
Journal of the BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making

We highly appreciate the detailed valuable comments of the referees on our manuscript of “MIDM-D-18-00217R1”. The suggestions are quite helpful for us and we incorporate them in the revised paper. During the last week, we have reconstructed the paper to improve the quality of our paper.

As below, on behalf of my co-authors, I would like to clarify some of the points raised by you the Reviewers. And we hope the Reviewers and the Editors will be satisfied with our responses to the ‘comments’ and the revisions for the original manuscript.

Looking forward hearing from you soon.

Sincerely,

Bahlol Rahimi

PhD in Medical Informatics
Health Information Technology Department
Urmia University of Medical Sciences
Editor Comments:

1. Authors’ contributions – missing authors

Currently, the contributions of authors, HRFE, TT and HA, are missing from the Authors’ contributions statement. The individual contributions of ALL authors to the manuscript should be specified in the Authors’ Contributions section. Guidance and criteria for authorship can be found in the online journal submission guidelines

Authors’ Response:

Thank you! We have revised contribution section as your suggestion.

2. Overlap

We note that the current submission contains some textual overlap with other previously published works, in particular:


This overlap mainly exists in the Methods and Results sections.

While we understand that you may wish to express some of the same ideas contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work.

Please re-phrase these sections to minimize overlap.

Additionally, if this study uses methodology from a previously published work, please provide a summarizing statement in the methodology together with a citation to the original paper.

Authors’ Response:

We appreciate your comments very much. As you recommended, we have re-phrased those sections to minimize overlap.
3. Clean manuscript

At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colors. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Authors’ Response:

Thank you! Sure we will upload those files as you mentioned.

Reviewer 1:

Dear Author, when I reviewed the article, I found the authors was responded to my comments. However, the authors were responded to my comments, there is a need to add an attractive way to make their article is Featured compare to the previous articles.

Authors’ Response:

Thank you! We found your comment extremely helpful and have now have amended the conclusion accordingly.

Reviewer 2:

The authors have addressed my comments. I have no further concerns.

Authors’ Response:

We thank the reviewer very much!