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Reviewer's report:

Dear all:

First of all congratulations for you work, it shows a real health cloud platform in Nigeria. I realized that the document explains clearly how you get the objetives regarding in 7 hypothesis. Introduction and Evaluations seem to be well done, although I could suggest a second statistic study to confort the results, perhaps depending by the point of view of the quiz a Kappa study to view the raters concordance. I like table 1, really very interesting 'state of the art'.

Let's me explain the weaknes point of you paper:

1º) Introduction: needs to be more clear. You mention a questionarie, perhaps you should introduce any example of this one. Table 2 I found really confuse to me -could not find the essence of you want to tell me: Adapted?-. Why are you telling of "Using human intuition"? This seems to be confused too, You need to tell afirmation no any more.

2º) Methods: A second concordance study: e.g Kappa?. Explain in more detail about security access (with password, any other format?) ¿Have you plain a blockchain?. Self-Efficacy by the health professional point of view or by the institution? How do you measure this efficacy?. I think this section change, I feel that this health cloud has no problems on any topic, really this is very strange. As an example, security access need to be remake on every iteration of a new version (e.g. DDOS attack and so on), users need to be informed and they need to learn the use of the platform -no only a self-efficacy-. Please release the weakness that is not resolving yet, I'm sure you can find any more.

3º) Conclusion: In a same way as 2º) I didn't find any weakness conclusion that could be danger to the health cloud model, perhaps you need to see inside the model and the behavior of the human resources that use it. I think Nigeria most usefull devices correspond to smartphones & phones, ¿Why don't you mention about de mobility of data?. In other hand ¿What about the stable situation of the infrastructures to keep a device on that geographic place?.¿What about your future work, Is this project ended yet? (rethinking about weakness pending taks).

4º) Figure 1, 2, 3 has a verty little text.
Thank you for attending my suggestion, as mentioned your work is very interesting but need to be reinforced on whole document.
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