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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Please provide email addresses for all the authors in the title page

In the title page, we have provided email addresses for all the authors. (Title page, lines 11-22, pages 1-2)

2. The abstract must include the following separate sections:

   Background: the context and purpose of the study

   Methods: how the study was performed and statistical tests used

   Results: the main findings

   Conclusions: brief summary and potential implications Please refer to https://bmcmedinformdecismak.biomedcentral.com/submission-guidelines/preparing-your-manuscript/research-article for more details and format your Abstract accordingly.

   We changed the abstract’s title sections as requested.

   Background: Abstract section, Line 30, page 2
3. The main text should include: Background, Methods, Results, Discussion, Conclusions

Please refer to https://bmcmedinformdecismak.biomedcentral.com/submission-guidelines/preparing-your-manuscript/research-article for more details and format the main text accordingly.

We changed the main text’s title sections as requested. We have included: Background (Background section, line 55, page 3), Methods (Methods section, line 103, page 5), Results (Results section, line 255, page 11), Discussion (Discussion section, line 305, page 14), Conclusions (Conclusion section, line 360, page 16).

According to submission-guidelines, we have moved the Objective section to the Methods section. (Methods section, lines 104-150, pages 5-7).

4. The role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript should be declared in Funding

Thanks for the suggestion. We have improved the text providing more details about the role of the funding body in the study. (Funding section, lines 384-386, page 17)

5. Please represent authors' names using their full initials, not their full name, in the Authors’ Contributions section.

For example: ‘AB carried out the molecular genetic studies, participated in the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. BC participated in the design of the study, carried out immunoassays and performed the statistical analysis. CD conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Please list all the authors with the initials in this section. Please also ensure that all authors have read and approved the final version and add a statement confirming this.

We represented authors’ names with their full initials, and we described the contribution of each one. After review process, all authors have read and approved the final manuscript, and we have added a statement confirming this. (Authors’ Contributions section, Lines 388-397, pages 17-18)
6. Please add a section 'Figure legends' after the references listing the legends for all the figures

We have added the section 'Figure legends' after the references. (Figure legend section, line 447, page 20)

7. List of legends

Please move explanation of the symbols used in each figure to the figure legends for the corresponding figures.

We have moved the explanation of the symbols used in each figure to the figure legends section. (Figure legend section, Lines 447-457, page 20)

8. Please remove 'Response to comments from reviewers.docx' from the file inventory as it is for peer review and not necessary at this stage of submission.

We have removed 'Response to comments from reviewers.docx' from the file inventory

9. Clean copy

Please ensure that when you upload your revised submission that it is in the final form for publication. Please remove any tracked changes or highlighting and include only a single clean copy of the manuscript (and please ignore any automated requests to show tracked changes). Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box (Respond to Reviewers) only.

We have removed any tracked changes or highlighting and we have included only a single clean copy of the manuscript