Author's response to reviews

Title: Analysis and classification of oncology activities on the way to workflow based single source documentation in clinical information systems

Authors:

Stefan Wagner (stefan.wagner@fau.de)
Matthias W. Beckmann (fk-direktion@uk-erlangen.de)
Bernd Wullich (Bernd.Wullich@uk-erlangen.de)
Christof Seggewies (christof.seggewies@uk-erlangen.de)
Markus Ries (ries.markus@googlemail.com)
Thomas Bürkle (thomas.buerkle@bfh.ch)
Hans-Ulrich Prokosch (ulli.prokosch@imi.med.uni-erlangen.de)

Version: 6 Date: 26 November 2015

Author's response to reviews:

Resubmission of an revised article for “BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making”

Dear Sir or Madam,
Dear Editors,
Dear Reviewers,

Thank you for your positive feedback.

In the revised version the following changes have been made according to your hints and suggestions:

“1. Ethics:
Please update your ethics statement to include the name of the ethics committee that approved your study.”:

Lines 487-489: No human material was used. No individual patient data was integrated.
Not applicable.

“2. Consent:
Please state in the Methods section whether written informed consent for participation in the study was obtained from participants or, where participants are children, a parent or guardian.”:

Lines 181-182: All interview partners agreed to participate in the study. No human material was used. No individual patient data was integrated.

“3. In the Authors Contributions section, please expand upon the role played by
author CS in the study or analysis.”:
Lines 497-500: MR gave advice during the study and participated in drafting of the earliest version of the manuscript. CS is the team leader of the working group for clinical information systems at the EUH and read the manuscript.

Additional personal updates:
Line 18: My personal doctoral degree was added.
Lines 32-33: The information about Thomas Bürkle was updated.

Yours faithfully

Stefan Wagner
(corresponding author)