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Author’s response to reviews:

The decision letter asked us to state the role of the funding bodies in the design of the study, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, and in writing the manuscript, in the funding section. Since this is a purely philosophical paper, most of these categories aren't relevant; we have therefore left the funding section as is. We have addressed all other feedback and reformatted the manuscript as required.