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March 18, 2020

Janelle Coore
BMC Medical Ethics

Dear Ms. Coore:

Thank you for the opportunity to revise our submitted manuscript entitled “An interprofessional cohort analysis of student interest in medical ethics education: A survey-based quantitative study” [METH-D-19-00161R1] for publication consideration in BMC Medical Ethics.

We thank you for your time in providing a second revision with the provided feedback. Attached you will find our response to each suggested revision with details about how we have addressed each concern. Additionally, we have formatted our revised manuscript in its final format for publication.
Thank you for the opportunity to publish our scholarly work to your esteemed journal. Please do not hesitate to contact me directly with any additional questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

Mikalyn T. DeFoor, BS
MD Candidate, Class of 2020
Medical College of Georgia at Augusta University

METH-D-19-00161R1

An interprofessional cohort analysis of student interest in medical ethics education: A survey-based quantitative study”

Mikalyn T DeFoor, Yunmi Chung, Julie K Zadinsky, Jeffrey Dowling, Richard W. Sams II
BMC Medical Ethics

Editor Review:

In the methods section, please confirm the minimum age of participants. Please also clarify whether written or verbal consent was needed from a parent or legal guardian on behalf of underaged participants, in the Ethics approval and consent to participate section. If the need for parental consent was waived by an IRB or national guidelines indicated that it is not needed in the case.

The minimum age of participants included in the survey was 18 years old. Therefore, parental consent was not an issue. This was clarified in the Methods section (page 5, line 104-105).

Please clarify whether the IRB provided an exemption for ethics approval or whether this pertained to the consent procedure, as we noted an exemption statement in the Ethics approval and consent to participate section.

The IRB provided an exemption for ethics approval as noted in the Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate section. This was clarified in the Methods section (page 6, line 129-131).

Please proofread and ensure that when you upload your revised submission it is in the final form for publication. Please remove any tracked changes or highlighting and include only a single clean copy of the manuscript.
The manuscript draft has been uploaded as a single clean copy that reflects our final submission for publication.

Minor additional changes that have been included are as follows—updated reference 5.