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Reviewer's report:

General
This is an interesting study that shows that there is wide variation with respect to ovarian tissue preservation (OTC). I was actually quite surprised, so I support this paper to gain more attention for this topic. The paper is well-written, but I have some minor comments for clarification and to improve the key message of this paper in the Discussion section / Table formats.

Abstract / Introduction/Methods/Results:
-

Discussion:

P8;
1. I'm not familiar with the term genetically-related children; but if this is the correct term, I would leave it like this. I however think this para needs some additional clarification. In my opinion, having children yourself is what matters here and this is also relevant in western cultures. I would reflect a bit more on this, instead of focusing on the cultural issues only.

2. Maybe you can shorten this para somewhat, so that it becomes more in line with the other bullets.

Line 46-58; I'm not sure whether this is necessary while discussing the ethical framework. I would leave it out here, and perhaps mention it shortly in the conclusion section.

In the conclusion, I would also mention the ethical framework the authors are thinking about. I would not repeat all findings again. It may be possible to shorten this to one/two sentences.

I would place the limitation section in the beginning of the discussion section; now I am a bit distracted reading the ethical framework, the limitations and subsequently the conclusions.

Table 1:
I suggest to leave out the percentages in all separate cells, but add the % on top (more easily readable)

Table 2:
I wonder whether the content about the legal framework is known among healthcare professionals. Perhaps you can leave it out in this Table and focus on the public health system only, as this is far more a financial issue.

Table 3:
I'm not sure whether this is true. Possibly the focus in different countries is somewhat different
regarding the different principles of bioethics. I would not base this conclusion on two books. I suggest to refer to some papers (including these two books), and leave this Table out.

Figures

Personally, I would combine the 4 figures and place them in one Table, to make this paper a more readable.


**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
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