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Author’s response to reviews:

Janelle Coore
Assistant Editor
BMC Medical Ethics

Subject: Submission of Revised Manuscript METH-D-19-00062R3

Dear Mrs. Coore,

Thank you for your email dated 28 February 2020 enclosing your suggested revisions. We have carefully reviewed them and revised the manuscript accordingly.

Yours sincerely,

Koko Kwisda

Reply to Editor

Please find below our detailed response to your comments.

All line numbers refer to the new manuscript file.

Editor comment C.1.1:

Please provide a List of abbreviations after the Conclusions section. If abbreviations are used in the text, they should be defined in the text at first use and included in this list.
Author’s response:

A list of abbreviations starting at line 597 was added after the Conclusion section.

Reviewer comment C.3.2:

Please proofread and ensure that when you upload your revised submission it is in the final form for publication. Please remove any tracked changes or highlighting and include only a single clean copy of the manuscript. Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box only.

Author’s response:

The manuscript was proofread and all changes were accepted. Furthermore, the manuscript conforms to the journal style.