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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Dr Menard, dear Editorial team members,

Please find attached a revised copy of our response to Correspondence from Kolstoe and colleagues concerning our paper entitled, Research approvals iceberg: How a ‘low-key’ study in England needed 89 professionals to approve it and how we can do better.

Thank you for discussing our response with the original response authors. We were requested only to revise a reference to both authors as Drs, as one of them does not have the title. We have now done the minor edits.

We hope all is good with the manuscript now and thank you once again for supporting us through the process.

With very best wishes,

Mila (Dr Mila Petrova), and on behalf of Dr Stephen Barclay