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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear editor,

Thank you for your email. We have now adapted the manuscript in line with your editorial comments, to which we respond point-by-point below. In our view, the manuscript is now ready for production.

1. The title of the manuscript (in the main document and in the editorial manager) is: What do patients with unmet medical needs want? A qualitative study of patients’ views and experiences with expanded access to unapproved, investigational treatments in the Netherlands

2. We had removed the box in our previous revision and included the contents in the main text. There is no text box in the main manuscript.

3. We included a brief list of abbreviations below the conclusion, as requested.

4. We used one interview guide for both focus group discussions and individual interviews. The document Interview Guide has been uploaded. We refer to the Interview Guide in the text.

5. As requested, we changed the Consent for publication statement, which now reads "Not applicable".

6. We stated the (lack of a) role of the funding body in the design of the study, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, and in writing the manuscript, in the Funding section.

7. We proofread the manuscript carefully and believe it does not contain any misspellings or grammar errors.

Thank you so much for your efforts in considering this paper.
Kind regards,

Eline.