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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor

Thanks a lot for this very quick assessment of our manuscript.

It is important to inform you that I am going on vacation the 1st of July and I will return the 22th of July. In that period I have no access to my email. I hope for your tolerance and patience about that.

This is a point-by-point response letter to the remarks.

Technical Comments:

-- In the section 'Funding', please also describe the role of the funding body/bodies in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

This has been done.
In section “Funding” page 17 line 49 the following sentence is added: None of the funding bodies have had any influence on the design of the study, data generation, interpretation of data or in writing of the manuscript.

-- Please ensure that all tables are cited within the text. Any items which are not cited may be deleted by our production department upon publication.

This has been done.

In section “Implementation strategies” page 4 line 11 the following sentence is added: Table 1 gives a description of the implementation strategies. (see table 1) is removed in line 10.

In section “Data collection” page 5 line 17 the following sentence is added: Table 2 gives a description of participating departments.

In section “Analysis” page 6 line 22 the following sentence is added: Table 5 shows the analytic process.

In the section “New initiatives and changes based on the results and experiences of the study” page 13 line 55 the following sentence is added: After project termination the network group had met two times, and the ERGs were organized as described in table 6.

-- At this stage, please upload your proofread manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files. Please ensure that all figures, tables and additional/supplementary files are cited within the text.

I have done my best to do that. In table I reference to study phase 1 and study phase 2 have been removed.

Kind regards

Henriette Bruun