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Reviewer's report:

* Lines 332 - 334: It would be important to highlight that rapid sharing of new information arising from research will have a potential to influence public decision making only if the relevant national and local authorities/stakeholders have the skills and competences to incorporate/integrate such information into decision making.

* While the recommendations and suggested actions are important, the authors should equally highlight the need to ensure that NREC or relevant authorities follow-up to ensure that proposed benefit sharing plans in submitted proposal are respected by researchers, post-approval (cf. Recommendation 5a).

* Inconsistencies in the referencing (need for consistency):
  i. Some lists of authors include "and" before the last authors, while others don't.
  ii. Some have a comma (,) after the last author initial before "et al.", while others don't.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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