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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor, I am glad to confirm that we have implemented the last required changes, as follows:

1. In the section 'Funding', please also describe the role of the funding body/bodies in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript. → This has been done, by clarify that "the funding body had no role in the design, conduct and reporting of the workshop, and in writing the manuscript"

2. We notice that you have included a consortium in your author list. Please ensure that the consortium name is entered as an author on our system, together with the contact details of a nominated consortia representative. The consortia should listed as the final author on the title page of the manuscript as well as in the system. → This has now been confirmed in the Acknowledgements section.

3. In order to be in line with journal requirements, please include the following headings within your abstract: Background, Main Text (which can be broken into sub-sections), Conclusions → This has now been confirmed in the Acknowledgements section.