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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for responding to my earlier comments.

I am satisfied that these have been addressed in this revised version of the paper.

The only comment I have is that the term MAID has not been explained to readers, some of whom may be uncertain what the concept constitutes. It would seem most relevant to include a brief explanation on page 5 (line 108). For instance, "...nurse practitioners and other health care professionals in the delivery (prescription or supply) of a lethal dose of medication to a competent patient at their explicit request), or some such explanation.

Thank you for the opportunity to review your paper. All the best with your future research.

Warm regards, Phillipa
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