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Author’s response to reviews:

I believe the comment I am responding to is this one:

For the 'Availability of data and materials' section, please provide information about where the data supporting your findings can be found. We encourage authors to deposit their datasets in publicly available repositories (where available and appropriate), or to be presented within the manuscript and/or additional supporting files. Please note that identifying/confidential patient data should not be shared. Authors who do not wish to share their data must state that data will not be shared, and provide reasons for this in the manuscript text. For further guidance on how to format this section, please refer to BioMed Central's editorial policies page - http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#availability+of+data+and+materials.
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In response, I do not have ethics approval to make all of my data publicly available. Instead, I have ethics to provide de-identified quotes to support my analyses in the text of the paper. Experts in abortion provision have agreed to be interviewed and to have de-identified quotes presented in publications, but have not consented to have the whole interview made available outside the confines of this study. Abortion provision is still, unfortunately, highly charged, and participants may be identifiable from a whole transcript given the small field that is abortion provision in Victoria. Therefore we did not request this of our participants. We have completed the most appropriate option for indicating this in the declarations part of the manuscript. If there is something else you need addressed, please let me know. Hopefully all the other declarations area adequate.