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Author’s response to reviews:

We are glad that our paper was considered as of potential interest for your readership.

We therefore followed the following points:

1 According to the submission guideline, please do the revisions as below:
   -please rename the section heading 'Introduction' to 'Background'
   -Please provide a combined 'Discussion and Conclusions' section instead of two separate sections

OK, done

2 Ethics aproval and consent to participate

This should be a separate section in Declarations. Please state Not Applicable in this section

3 Consent for publicaiton

This should be a separate section in Declarations.

Please supply the consent statement in the following form - modified accordingly if consent was for multiple patients, parental or from a next of kin:

“Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this Case Report and any accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor of this journal.”
Ok, thanks, this was done.

4 Please remove the below two files from the file inventory as they are for peer review and not necessary at this stage of submission cover letter

CARE checklist

Ok done

5 Upon resubmission, Please remove any tracked changes or highlighting and include only a single clean copy of the manuscript. Please ensure the document is in the final form for publication; please upload only files that are to be published. If you wish to respond to these revision requests, please insert the information into the designated input box only

Ok done,

thanks for your support,

GB Piccoli and co-workers.