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**Reviewer's report:**

This is a nice proof of concept study and the results reflect the objectives. It demonstrates feasibility and sets the stage for further research and exploration on the innovative use of mobile devices to obtain research consent. I look forward to ongoing research by the investigators on the topic.

Were any major changes made to the prototype through the prototype testing? Did you do any qualitative user testing? If so, were there any notable comments?

Though not critical, I suggest using visual charts to represent the results as opposed to data in tables. It's much easier for the reader to see trends when represented graphically.

Also, a minor comment related to the questions in Table 2. The question was framed by "How easy was it to..." with the possible options ranging from "Very difficult" to "Very Easy". The question might have been better framed as "I found understanding X to be..

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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